Investigation Protocol for Sensorineural Hearing Loss

1Abir K Bhattacharyya, 2Jabin Thaj

1Consultant ENT Surgeon and Royal College Surgical Tutor, Associate Director Medical Education (Surgery), Whipps Cross University Hospital, Whips Cross Road, Leytonstone, London E11 1NR, United Kingdom
2Educational Fellow in Otolaryngology, Whipps Cross University Hospital, Leytonstone, London E11 1NR, United Kingdom

Correspondence: Abir K Bhattacharyya, Consultant Otolaryngologist and Head and Neck Surgeon, Battlehurst, 40 The Drive South Woodford, London E18 2BL, United Kingdom

Abstract

The etiology of sensorineural hearing loss is multifactorial which makes the diagnosis a challenging exercise and often an unsolved mystery. Whilst pure tone audiometry is a mandatory investigation, others such as laboratory and radiology are based on clinical evaluation. This paper puts forward a protocol for the investigation for sensorineural hearing loss.
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INTRODUCTION

Sensorineural hearing loss (SNHL) refers to hearing impairment resulting from organic disorders of cochlea or the auditory nerve and its connections in brainstem. Normal hearing depends on integrity of the auditory pathway and on vascular, hematological, metabolic and endocrine systems. A variety of etiologies have been implicated (Table 1), and a wide range of investigations (Table 2) are available. However, pinpointing the diagnosis in sensorineural hearing loss can be a challenging exercise. In this paper, we attempt to put forward a protocol for the investigation of sensorineural hearing loss.

Table 1: Etiology

| • Developmental and hereditary |
| − Nonsyndromic hereditary hearing loss |
| − Waardenburg’s syndrome |
| − Alport’s syndrome |
| − Usher’s syndrome |
| − Inner ear anomalies |
| • Infectious disorders |
| − Labyrinthitis |
| − Otitis media |
| − Viral infections |
| − Bacterial-syphilis |
| • Drug induced |
| • Renal |
| • Trauma |
| • Irradiation |
| • Neurological |

Table 2: Investigations for sensorineural hearing loss

| • Pure tone audiometry |
| • ECG |
| • Vestibular tests |
| • Radiographic tests |
| • Laboratory tests |
| • Other tests |
| − Speech audiometry |
| − Otoacoustic emissions |
| − Auditory brainstem response |

Audiometry

Pure-tone audiometry (PTA) is a measure of threshold of hearing by air and bone conduction and helps to verify, classify and quantify hearing loss. It is the most simple and widely available technical investigation. Although it is a subjective measure, the test is very reliable if the patient is cooperative.1 Classification of hearing loss into conductive and sensorineural is further supported by tympanometry and acoustic reflex testing. Tympanometry is especially helpful in excluding the possibility of a conductive component in those with profound losses or bilateral losses in the presence of a masking dilemma.

PTA is the pivotal test that may help to differentiate between different types of SNHL (Flow Chart 1). SNHL can be symmetrical or asymmetrical based on the etiology. The common causes of asymmetric (unilateral) SNHL are sudden deafness, Ménière’s disease and acoustic neuroma.
or other cerebellopontine angle tumors. Early diagnosis of retrocochlear pathologies is imperative and MRI is now the recommended investigation for this. Low frequency hearing loss is suggestive of Ménière’s disease and this should be followed-up with supplementary investigations like vestibular function tests and electrocochleography. Atypical audiometric pattern in the young, with associated clinical features should prompt investigation into systemic causes of deafness like viral, bacterial, drug induced, autoimmune, radiation, trauma and neurological.

**Electrocochleography**

Electrocochleography (ECochG) measures electrical potentials arising in the cochlea and the auditory nerve within the first 5 milliseconds. The response is in the form of 3 phenomena: cochlear microphonics, summating potential SP and action potential AP of 8th nerve. SP and AP provide the most useful clinical information. Elevated SP: AP ratio is suggestive of Ménière’s disease. ECochG is also useful in cases where wave 1 of ABR is weak or absent. Other clinical applications of ECochG include intraoperative monitoring, cochlear implant candidates and stapedectomy. Studies have shown elevated AP/SP ratios in a series of patients with autoimmune disorders.

There are 2 types of ECochG based on the position of the reference electrode: either on the promontory (trans-tympanic) or on the tympanic membrane (extratympanic). Both extratympanic and transtympanic electrodes have been found to be effective for ECochG evaluation. The extratympanic one causes less discomfort, but the transtympanic electrode produces tracings of better amplitude and reproducibility.

**Vestibular Function Tests (Pertinent to SNHL)**

Vestibular function testing (VFT) is indicated in specific cases of SNHL. If the clinical features are suggestive of Ménière’s (hearing loss, vertigo, tinnitus) and the audiogram shows characteristic pattern, VFT can be a useful adjunct. The most popular vestibular function test is electroneystagmography, which helps in assessing the integrity of vestibulo-ocular and allied reflex systems. During attacks in patients with early Ménière’s disease, the VOR gain toward the affected side is found to be higher than that toward the intact side, supporting the fact that the sensitivity of the cupulolymphatic system on the affected ear is increased.
the most informative. The percentage of canal paresis and directional preponderance can be calculated. Hypo activity of the affected side is seen in Ménière’s disease. However, normal findings on ENG are not uncommon, especially if the test is done during disease free intervals.

**Radiology**

Patients with asymmetric hearing loss need further evaluation based on the degree of asymmetry. Various guidelines have been suggested for selection of patients for MR scanning to rule out acoustic neuroma. Using an interaural difference of 15 dB at 2 adjacent frequencies in unilateral hearing loss and 20 dB in bilateral hearing loss was found to have a sensitivity of 95% and specificity of 49%. A recent study has suggested a rule 3000 wherein asymmetry of 15 dB or more at 3000 kHz requires an MR. If less than 15 dB, a biannual audiometric follow-up is sufficient.

MRI is found to be more sensitive than ABR in detection of small vestibular schwannomas. If MR is not available ABR is a suitable and sensitive investigation. Another study indicates that if waiting lists are long, the described strategy using ABR to select priority referrals for MRI scanning is recommended. Recent studies show that power spectrum auditory brainstem response (PSABR) could be a valid and reliable method of identifying subgroups of patients with unilateral auditory dysfunction who would best benefit from MRI.

MRI scanning with gadolinium enhancement will identify virtually all tumors and was considered to be the gold standard. Recently T2-weighted fast spin echo MRI has been found to as sensitive as and less expensive than gadolinium enhanced standard MRI. All children with SNHL should undergo CT scan of the petrous bones/inner ear; abnormalities are more likely to be found in cases with craniofacial abnormalities, or profound or progressive hearing loss. The reported incidence of anomalies is 6.8 to 31% with dilated vestibular aqueduct being the commonest.

Michel’s aplasia, common cavity deformity and cochlear aplasia occurs due to arrest of development of inner ear structures at 3rd, 4th and 5th week of gestation respectively. Consequently, Michel’s aplasia has the worst deformity with total absence of inner ear differentiation (Fig. 1). In common cavity deformity, the inner ear is a single cavity with no definite cochlea, vestibule and semicircular canals (Fig. 2).

In cochlear aplasia, cochlea is absent but vestibule and semicircular canals are present (Figs 3 and 4).

High-resolution multislice spiral computed tomography (MSCT) is especially suitable for the diagnosis of temporal bone fractures. The fracture line can be seen originating in occipital bone, extending anteriorly across the base of skull and petrous pyramid (Fig. 5).
Systemic causes should be evaluated once presbyacusis, primary inner ear diseases like Ménière’s, labyrinthitis, etc. and retro cochlear causes of SNHL are excluded. The various medical causes of hearing loss should be kept in mind while assessing the patient. Basic hematological tests and biochemistry are more often than not, unhelpful. Syphilis though uncommon, is a potentially treatable cause of hearing loss and deserves special attention. Fluorescent treponemal antibody test (FTA-ABS) and microhemagglutination test for syphilis are more sensitive than venereal disease research laboratory tests (VDRL).

Autoantibody testing in immune mediated SNHL is not sufficiently diagnostic with a study showing positive antinuclear antibody in only 34.4% of patients with autoimmune diseases. Immunobiological tests using fractioned human inner ear antigen have been used in the investigation of Ménière’s disease with equivocal results. Sensorineural hearing loss is common in children with congenital hypothyroidism and should be excluded by performing thyroid function tests. The reported incidence varies from 11.5 to 80%. Studies have shown statistically significant improvement in hearing thresholds after treatment with levothyroxine.

### Specific Audiometric Investigations

A complete battery of supporting audiometric methods is available required for the differential diagnosis of different hearing disabilities including impedance, stapes reflex, speech audiometry, brainstem evoked response audiometry, otoacoustic emissions, speech discrimination tests, etc.

#### Speech Audiometry

Speech audiometry in conjunction with pure-tone audiometry can aid in determining the degree and type of hearing loss. This also provides information regarding discomfort or tolerance to speech stimuli and information on speech-discrimination abilities.
Otoacoustic Emissions

Otoacoustic emissions (OAE) are acoustic signals detectable in the external auditory canal that reflect the state of health of the cochlea. Transiently-evoked otoacoustic emissions and distortion-projection otoacoustic emissions are two types of otoacoustic emissions currently under investigation as well as in limited clinical use.22 These objective tests of cochlear function add significantly to the armamentarium currently in place for the evaluation of hearing.

Otoacoustic emissions reflect the functional status of the outer hair cells and constitute the only noninvasive means of objective cochlear investigation. Transient evoked otoacoustic emissions are an extremely sensitive measure of the early effects of amino glycoside-induced injury to the peripheral auditory system. Therefore, their use is recommended for regular monitoring of cochlear function, in the presence of potentially toxic factors, aiming at prevention of permanent damage.24 The decreased DPOAE amplitudes at 4 kHz in hypertriglyceridemic and diabetic patients without clinical findings are compatible with the sensorineural hearing loss observed with hyper viscosity and increased noise susceptibility.25

Auditory Brainstem Response

ABR is a noninvasive test that tests the auditory pathway from the eighth nerve to brainstem. It is a noninvasive test useful in assessment of hearing loss in newborn infants.26 ABR has been demonstrated to have low sensitivity and specificity in the evaluation of patients with retrocochlear pathology and cannot be relied on as a screening test for patients with asymmetric SNHL. Keeping the use of MRI conditional on the results of ABR will annually result in missed or delayed diagnosis of causative lesions in 29 patients per 1,000 screened.27 ABR is under further evaluation to assess hearing loss in medical conditions. Multiple sclerosis is known to result in changes in wave morphology with increasing stimulation.28 Analysis of the latencies (waves I, II, III, and V) and the interpeak latencies (I-III and I-V) of BAEP revealed a significant difference between those of diabetics and those of healthy controls. The amplitudes of waves III, V, and I were definitely lower in comparison with amplitudes of healthy controls.29 Absent ABR waveforms in the presence of normal OAEs indicates an unusual type of hearing loss known as auditory dysynchrony (auditory neuropathy).30
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